Immunopharmacologic Monitoring of Therapeutic Serum Antibodies

Policy Number: AHS - G2105 - Immunopharmacologic Monitoring of Therapeutic Serum Antibodies	Prior Policy Name and Number, as applicable:
	• AHS - G2105 - Immunopharmacologic Monitoring of Infliximab, Adalimumab and Other Therapeutic Serum Antibodies • AHS - G2105 - Measurement of Serum Antibodies to Infliximab and Adalimumab • AHS - G2105 - Immunopharmacologic Monitoring of Infliximab and Adalimumab
Initial Presentation Date: 06/01/2021 Revision Date: N/A	

I.   Policy Description

Immunopharmacologic monitoring of circulating drug and anti-drug antibody levels has been proposed to manage loss of response due to the development of anti-drug antibodies, which may promote adverse effects and diminish drug efficacy (Bendtzen, 2017; Tighe & McNamara, 2017).

Targeted inhibitors of tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF), including, but not limited to, infliximab, adalimumab, etanercept, and golimumab, are widely used in the treatment of a number of inflammatory conditions, including rheumatoid arthritis (RA), spondyloarthritis, inflammatory bowel disease, and psoriasis (Bendtzen, 2019a).

II.   Related Policies

Policy Number	Policy Title
AHS-G2098	Immune Cell Function Assay
AHS-G2127	Vectra DA Blood Test for Rheumatoid Arthritis
AHS-G2155	General Inflammation Testing

III.   Indications and/or Limitations of Coverage

Medical Policy Statements do not ensure an authorization or payment of services. Please refer to the plan contract (often referred to as the Evidence of Coverage) for the service(s) referenced in the Medical Policy Statement. If there is a conflict between the Medical Policy Statement and the plan

contract (i.e., Evidence of Coverage), then the plan contract (i.e., Evidence of Coverage) will be the controlling document used to make the determination.

Application of coverage criteria is dependent upon an individual's benefit coverage at the time of the request. If there is a conflict between this Policy and any relevant, applicable government policy [e.g. National Coverage Determinations (NCDs) for Medicare] for a particular member, then the government policy will be used to make the determination. For the most up-to-date Medicare policies and coverage, please visit their search website http://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/overview-and-quick-search.aspx?from2=search1.asp& or the manual website

1. Drug and/or antibody concentration testing for anti-tumor necrosis factor (anti-TNF) therapies in patients with inflammatory bowel disease **MEETS COVERAGE CRITERIA** in the following situations:

    a. At the end of induction for all anti-TNFs

    b. At least once during maintenance therapy

    c. At the end of induction in primary non-responders

    d. In patients with confirmed secondary loss of response

2. Drug and/or antibody concentration testing for vedolizumab or ustekinumab therapies in patients with inflammatory bowel disease **MEETS COVERAGE CRITERIA** in the following situations:

    a. In non-responders at the end of induction

    b. In patients with confirmed secondary loss of response

The following does not meet coverage criteria due to a lack of available published scientific literature confirming that the test(s) is/are required and beneficial for the diagnosis and treatment of a patient's illness.

3. Measurement of the serum drug levels and/or measurement of the antibodies to the following drugs for any other reason, either alone or as a combination test, in an outpatient setting **DOES NOT MEET COVERAGE CRITERIA**:

    a. adalimumab

    b. certolizumab

    c. etanercept

    d. golimumab

    e. infliximab

f. infliximab-dyyb

g. infliximab-abda

h. rituximab

i. ustekinumab

j. vedolizumab

IV.   Scientific Background

Tumor necrosis factor (TNF) inhibitors competitively inhibit the binding of TNF to its receptors to reduce inflammation and stop disease progression (Lis, Kuzawińska, & Bałkowiec-Iskra, 2014). They are used for treatment of inflammatory conditions, such as rheumatoid arthritis (RA), psoriatic arthritis, juvenile arthritis, inflammatory bowel disease (Crohn's and ulcerative colitis), and ankylosing spondylitis (Bendtzen, 2019b; Lis et al., 2014). Five primary biologic TNF inhibitors are used for inflammatory diseases; infliximab, adalimumab, certolizumab pegol, golimumab, and etanercept. However, these inhibitors may lead to the formation of auto-drug antibodies, which may hinder treatment and cause other adverse effects, such as allergic reactions (Bendtzen, 2017).

Therapeutic drug monitoring (TDM) of both these drugs and anti-drug antibodies has been proposed to optimize dosing of TNF inhibitors. This monitoring is thought to help clinicians manage drug regimens for these patients, such as changing the dose or changing the drug entirely. Identifying the presence and concentration of both these drugs and auto-drug antibodies may help avoid nonresponse to treatment. Most assays for assessment of serum antibodies will also report the drug concentration (MacDermott, 2018). For example, InformTx offers assays for eight biologic agents (infliximab, adalimumab, certolizumab pegol, vedolizumab, ustekinumab, golimumab, infliximab-dyyb, and infliximab-abda), and is intended to allow providers to monitor, manage response, and optimize dose (InformTx, 2019). Prometheus Anser also offers a series of assays for assessment of these antibodies. Prometheus has assessments for four biologics (adalimumab, infliximab, ustekinumab, and vedolizumab), which also measure the levels of antibodies against the drug in question (Anser, 2019). LabCorp offers assays for 10 biologics encompassed in one portfolio called "DoseASSURE." These biologics include adalimumab, infliximab, infliximab-dyyb, infliximab-abda, etanercept, rituximab, golimumab, vedolizumab, ustekinumab, and certolizumab (LabCorp, 2019).

Clinical Validity and Utility

S. L. Wang et al. (2012) developed and validated a non-radiolabeled homogeneous mobility shift assay (HMSA) to measure the antibodies-to-infliximab (ATI) and infliximab levels in serum samples. The assay was validated for both items, and the sample was compared to the traditional ELISA. Intra- and interassay precision rates for the ATI- and infliximab-HMSA were less than 4% and less than 15%, respectively, and less than 6% and less than 15%, respectively. The lower limit of quantitation of the ATI-HMSA was found to be 0.012 µg/mL in serum, and the HMSA correlated well with the ELISA for ATI levels.

S. L. Wang et al. (2013) developed and validated a non-radiolabeled HMSA to measure antibodies-to-adalimumab (ATA) and adalimumab levels in serum samples. Analytic validation of performance characteristics (calibration standards, assay limits, et al.) was performed for both the ATA- and adalimumab-HMSA. Because the elimination half-life of adalimumab (10-20 days) overlaps the dosing interval (every 2 weeks), ATA-positive sera to provide calibration standards were difficult to collect from human patients. The drug-free interval for antibody formation is small. Therefore, antisera from rabbits immunized with adalimumab were pooled to form calibration standards. Serial dilutions of these ATA calibration standards then generated a standard curve against which test samples were compared. With over 29 experimental runs, intra-assay precision and accuracy for the adalimumab-HMSA was <20% and <3%, respectively; interassay (run-to-run, analyst-to-analyst and instrument-to-instrument) precision and accuracy were less than 12% and less than 22%, respectively. For the ATA-HMSA, variance for intra-assay precision and accuracy were less than 3% and less than 13%, respectively; variance for interassay precision and accuracy were less than 9% and less than 18%, respectively (S. L. Wang et al., 2013). ELISA could not be used as a standard comparator due to competition from circulating drug.

Van Stappen et al. (2016) validated a rapid, lateral flow-based assay (LFA) for quantitative determination of infliximab and to assess thresholds associated with mucosal healing in patients with ulcerative colitis. They found that the LFA agreed well with the traditional enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) for quantification of infliximab with correlation coefficients of 0.95 during induction. A trough concentration (TC) of ≥2.1 µg/ml was associated with mucosal healing. They concluded, "With a time-to-result of 20 min, individual sample analysis and user-friendliness, the LFA outplays ELISA as a rapid, accurate tool to monitor infliximab concentrations" (Van Stappen et al., 2016).

Steenholdt et al. (2014) investigated "the cost-effectiveness of interventions defined by an algorithm designed to identify specific reasons for therapeutic failure." A total of 69 patients with secondary infliximab (IFX) failure were randomized to IFX dose intensification (n = 36) or interventions based on serum IFX and IFX antibody levels (n = 33). The researchers found that "Costs for intention-to-treat patients were substantially lower (34%) for those treated in accordance with the algorithm than by infliximab (IFX) dose intensification: €6038 vs €9178. However, disease control, as judged by response rates, was similar: 58% and 53%, respectively" (Steenholdt et al., 2014). They concluded that "treatment of secondary IFX failure using an algorithm based on combined IFX and IFX antibody measurements significantly reduces average treatment costs per patient compared with routine IFX dose escalation and without any apparent negative effect on clinical efficacy" (Steenholdt et al., 2014).

Roblin et al. (2014) conducted a prospective study with 82 patients with inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) having a disease flare while being on ADA 40 mg every 2 weeks. All patients were primary responders to ADA therapy and were anti-tumor necrosis factor (TNF) naive. ADA trough levels and antibodies against ADA (AAA) were measured. All patients were optimized with ADA 40 mg weekly. Four months later, in the absence of clinical remission, patients were treated with infliximab. The researchers concluded, "The presence of low ADA trough levels without AAA is strongly predictive of clinical response in 67% of cases after ADA optimization. Conversely, low ADA levels with detectable AAA are associated with ADA failure, and switching to IFX should be considered. ADA trough levels

>4.9 μg/ml are associated with failure of two anti-TNF agents (ADA and IFX) in 90% of cases and switching to another drug class should be considered (Roblin et al., 2014)."

Mitchell et al. (2016) studied if infliximab (IFX) therapeutic drug monitoring (TDM) allows for objective decision making in patients with inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) and loss of response. A total of 71 patients with IBD that had IFX TDM were examined and their serum concentration of anti-drug antibodies were measured. Patients were grouped by TDM results: group 1, low IFX/high ADA; group 2, low IFX/low ADA; group 3, therapeutic IFX, and changes in management were examined due to groupings. Of the 71 patients, 37% underwent an "appropriate" change in therapy based on group. The authors concluded, "A trend towards increased remission rates was associated with appropriate changes in management following TDM results. Many patients with therapeutic IFX concentrations did not undergo an appropriate change in management, potentially reflecting a lack of available out-of-class options at the time of TDM or due to uncertainty of the meaning of the reported therapeutic range (Mitchell et al., 2016)."

Barlow, Mohammed, and Berg (2016) evaluated the clinical utility of antibodies in relation to C-reactive protein concentrations. A total of 108 patients contributed 201 samples, and total anti-infliximab antibodies were measured in 164 samples. The authors found that median trough infliximab was 3.7 μg / mL, and 23% of the samples were ≤1 μg / mL. They also noted that "Serum C-reactive protein was found to be significantly higher where infliximab was ≤1 compared to >1 μg/mL," but no "strict" correlation was seen (Barlow et al., 2016). Approximately 85% of samples with positive anti-infliximab antibodies had infliximab ≤1 μg / mL, and the authors concluded, "Our findings support measurement of anti-infliximab antibodies only in the context of low infliximab concentrations <1 μg/mL. A higher therapeutic cut-off may be relevant in patients with negative antibodies. Further work is indicated to investigate the clinical significance of positive antibodies with therapeutic infliximab concentrations (Barlow et al., 2016)."

Moore, Corbett, and Moss (2016) performed a systematic review and meta-analysis of studies that reported serum infliximab levels according to IBD outcomes. Twenty-two studies were examined, encompassing 3483 patients. Twelve studies reported IFX levels in a manner "suitable" for estimating the effect. The researchers found that "During maintenance therapy, patients in clinical remission had significantly higher mean trough IFX levels than patients not in remission: 3.1 μg/ml versus 0.9 μg/ml. The standardised mean difference in serum IFX levels between groups was 0.6 μg/ml. Patients with an IFX level > 2 μg/ml were more likely to be in clinical remission (risk ratio [RR]: 2.9), or achieve endoscopic remission [RR 3] than patients with levels < 2 μg/ml." The study concluded, "There is a significant difference between serum infliximab levels in patients with IBD in remission, compared with those who relapse. A trough threshold during maintenance > 2 μg/ml is associated with a greater probability of clinical remission and mucosal healing (Moore et al., 2016)."

Y. Wang, Turner, Bedeir, Patel, and Gulizia (2018) submitted an abstract to the 2018 Therapeutic Drug Management and Toxicology Division Abstract Competition conducted by the American Association for Clinical Chemistry (AACC) on July 30, 2018. This abstract focused on InformTx's assays for TDM, and the authors reviewed TDM results for six biologics: adalimumab (ADA), certolizumab (CER), golimumab (GOL), infliximab (INF), ustekinumab (UST), and vedolizumab (VED). A total of 18837 sera samples were analyzed with InformTx's assays, and patient responses were predicted based on drug and anti-drug antibody status (ADAbs). The need for drug optimization were assessed by comparing

patient drug levels to recommended therapeutic drug levels and laboratory-defined higher ADAbs. The authors found that "64.1%, 30.2%, 83.9%, 60.4%, 25.2%, and 69.1% of the patients treated with ADA, CER, GOL, INF, UST, and VED, respectively, had drug level equal to or greater than the recommended therapeutic level and undetectable ADAbs." Approximately 4.5%-33% patients had a drug concentration above the recommended therapeutic level. In contrast, patients (31.0% in ADA, 57.0% in CER, 12.1% in GOL, 32.5% in INF, 74.4% in UST, and 30.6% in VED) had undetectable or suboptimal levels of drugs and undetectable or lower levels of ADAbs (Y. Wang et al., 2018).

Fernandes et al. (2019) examined whether TDM can improve clinical outcomes in Crohn's disease (CD) and ulcerative colitis (UC) patients. A total of 205 patients were included in the study, and 56 patients were placed in a "proactive" regimen. This proactive regimen involved measuring infliximab (IFX) trough levels and antidrug antibodies before the fourth infusion and every two infusions. The regimen aimed to establish an IFX trough level of 3-7 ug/mL for CD patients and 5-10 ug/mL for UC patients. The control group was made of patients treated with IFX but without TDM. The authors found that treatment escalation was more common in the proactive TDM (pTDM) group (76.8% vs 25.5%), mucosal healing was more common, (73.2% vs 38.9%) and surgery was less common (8.9% vs 20.8%). Proactive TDM also decreased the odds of any unfavorable outcome by an odds ratio of 0.358. The authors concluded that "Proactive TDM is associated with fewer surgeries and higher rates of mucosal healing than conventional non-TDM-based management" (Fernandes et al., 2019).

Negoescu et al. (2019) performed a cost-effectiveness analysis of proactive verses reactive TDM in a simulated population of individuals with CD on IFX. The proactive strategy measured IFX concentration and antibody status every 6 months, at the time of a flare, then dosed IFX appropriately. The reactive strategy measured IFX concentration and antibodies at the time of a flare. The authors found that the proactive strategy led to fewer flares, finding an "incremental cost-effectiveness ratio of $146,494 per quality-adjusted life year." More patients stayed on IFX in the proactive strategy (63.4% vs 58.8% at year 5). The authors concluded that "assuming 40% of the average wholesale acquisition cost of biologic therapies, proactive TDM for IFX is marginally cost-effective compared with a reactive TDM strategy. As the cost of infliximab decreases, a proactive monitoring strategy is more cost-effective (Negoescu et al., 2019)."

Papamichael, Juncadella, et al. (2019) studied the therapeutic drug monitoring of adalimumab in populations with IBD. This multicenter retrospective cohort study included data from 382 patients with IBD (including 311 patients with CD). Participants received either standard or care, or at least one proactive TDM. "Multiple Cox regression analyses showed that at least one proactive TDM was independently associated with a reduced risk for treatment failure" (Papamichael, Juncadella, et al., 2019). This study shows that proactive TDM of adalimumab may help to decrease rates of treatment failure for IBD patients.

V.   Guidelines and Recommendations

National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE) (NICE, 2016; Singh et al., 2019)

The 2016 Guidelines for therapeutic monitoring of TNF-alpha inhibitors in Crohn's disease stated that "enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kits show promise for therapeutic monitoring of tumor

necrosis factor (TNF)-alpha inhibitors in people with Crohn's disease but there is insufficient evidence to recommend their routine adoption" (NICE, 2016).

NICE also states that use of ELISA tests should be used as part of research and/or data collection and that more research is needed to determine the clinical effectiveness of ELISA tests for therapeutic monitoring of TNF-alpha inhibitors for rheumatoid arthritis. "Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) tests for therapeutic monitoring of tumour necrosis factor (TNF)-alpha inhibitors (drug serum levels and antidrug antibodies) show promise but there is currently insufficient evidence to recommend their routine adoption in rheumatoid arthritis. The ELISA tests covered by this guidance are Promonitor, IDKmonitor, LISA-TRACKER, RIDASCREEN, MabTrack, and tests used by Sanquin Diagnostic Services" (NICE, 2019).

American Gastroenterological Association (AGA) (Vande Casteele, Herfarth, Katz, Falck-Ytter, & Singh, 2017)

The AGA published guidelines on Therapeutic Drug Monitoring in Inflammatory Bowel Disease recommending:

"In adults with active IBD treated with anti-TNF agents, the AGA suggests reactive therapeutic drug monitoring to guide treatment changes. Conditional recommendation, very low quality of evidence" (Feuerstein, Nguyen, Kupfer, Falck-Ytter, & Singh, 2017).

In adult patients with quiescent IBD treated with anti-TNF agents, the AGA makes no recommendation regarding the use of routine proactive therapeutic drug monitoring (Feuerstein et al., 2017).

A technical report released by the AGA in the same year noted that for patients with quiescent IBD being treated with anti-TNF agents, the benefit of routine proactive TDM was "uncertain" compared to no monitoring. However, they observe a potential benefit for reactive TDM (Vande Casteele et al., 2017).

American College of Rheumatology and National Psoriasis Foundation Guideline for the Treatment of Psoriatic Arthritis (Singh et al., 2019)

These guidelines do not mention monitoring of TNF inhibitors for antidrug antibodies or TNF inhibitor levels (Singh et al., 2019).

American College of Gastroenterology (ACG) (Lichtenstein et al., 2018; Rubin, Ananthakrishnan, Siegel, Sauer, & Long, 2019)

The ACG released an update regarding management of Crohn's Disease (CD), stating that "if active CD is documented, then assessment of biologic drug levels and antidrug antibodies (therapeutic drug monitoring) should be considered" (Lichtenstein et al., 2018).

The ACG published guidelines on management of ulcerative colitis. In it, they observe that "the patient with nonresponse or loss of response to therapy should be assessed with therapeutic drug monitoring to identify the reason for lack of response and whether to optimize the existing therapy or to select an alternate therapy." However, they remark that there is "insufficient evidence" to support a benefit for proactive TDM in "all unselected patients with UC in remission" (Rubin et al., 2019).

Consensus Statement on Therapeutic Drug Monitoring of Biologic Agents for Patients With IBD (Papamichael, Cheifetz, et al., 2019)

A consensus statement has been published on appropriate therapeutic drug monitoring for IBD patients. This statement was published in the journal of Clinical Gastroenterology and Hepatology, which is published by Elsevier on behalf of the AGA. A total of 28 statements were provided to a 13-member panel, and 24 of these statements reached a consensus. All statements were rated on a scale of 1-10, and statements were accepted if 80% or more of the participants agreed with a score ≥7. All 28 statements are shown below. Overall, "For anti-tumor necrosis factor (anti-TNF) therapies, proactive TDM was found to be appropriate after induction and at least once during maintenance therapy, but this was not the case for the other biologics. Reactive TDM was appropriate for all agents both for primary non-response and secondary loss of response. The panellists also agreed on several statements regarding TDM and appropriate drug and anti-drug antibody (ADA) concentration thresholds for biologics in specific clinical scenarios" (Papamichael, Cheifetz, et al., 2019).

"**Table 4:** Scenarios of Applying Therapeutic Drug Monitoring of Biological Therapy in Patients With Inflammatory Bowel Disease

Anti-TNFs

1. It is appropriate to order drug/antibody concentration testing in responders at the end of induction for all anti-TNFs. 92 (12/13)

2. It is appropriate to order drug/antibody concentration testing at least once during maintenance for patients on all anti-TNFs. 100 (13/13)

3. It is appropriate to order drug/antibody concentration testing of anti-TNFs at the end of induction in primary non-responders. 100 (13/13)

4. It is appropriate to order drug/antibody concentration testing for all anti-TNFs in patients with confirmed secondary loss of response. 100 (13/13)

Vedolizumab

5. It is appropriate to order drug/antibody concentration testing for vedolizumab in responders at the end of induction. 15 (2/13)a

6. It is appropriate to order drug/antibody concentration testing at least once during maintenance for patients on vedolizumab. 46 (6/13)a

7. It is appropriate to order drug/antibody concentration testing for vedolizumab in non-responders at the end of induction. 92 (12/13)

8. It is appropriate to order drug/antibody concentration testing for vedolizumab in patients with confirmed secondary loss of response. 83 (10/12)

Ustekinumab

9. It is appropriate to order drug/antibody concentration testing for ustekinumab in responders at the end of induction.   39 (5/13)a

10. It is appropriate to order drug/antibody concentration testing at least once during maintenance for patients on ustekinumab. 31 (4/13)a

11. It is appropriate to order drug/antibody concentration testing for ustekinumab in non-responders at the end of induction (at 8 weeks).   92 (12/13)

12. It is appropriate to order drug/antibody concentration testing for ustekinumab in patients with confirmed secondary loss of response. 83 (10/12) (Papamichael, Cheifetz, et al., 2019)"

Table 5: Biological Drug Concentrations and Anti-Drug Antibodies When Applying Therapeutic Drug Monitoring in Inflammatory Bowel Disease

General

13. There is no difference in indication for ordering drug/antibody concentrations or interpretation of results for biosimilars or the originator drug.   100 (13/13)

14. The threshold drug concentration may vary depending on disease phenotype and desired therapeutic outcome.   100 (13/13)

15. In the presence of adequate trough drug concentrations, anti-drug antibodies are unlikely to be clinically relevant.   100 (12/12)

16. Other than for anti-infliximab antibodies, there are not enough data to recommend a threshold for high anti-drug antibody titers for the biologic drugs.   100 (12/12)

Infliximab

17. The current evidence suggests that the variability of infliximab concentrations between the different assays is unlikely to be clinically significant.   100 (13/13)a

18. There is insufficient evidence that inter-assay drug concentration results are comparable for biologic drugs other than for infliximab.   100 (13/13)

19. The minimal trough concentration for infliximab post-induction at week 14 should be greater than 3 µg/mL, and concentrations greater than 7 µg/mL are associated with an increased likelihood of mucosal healing.   100 (13/13)

20. During maintenance the minimal trough concentration for infliximab for patients in remission should be greater than 3 µg/mL. For patients with active disease, infliximab should generally not be abandoned unless drug concentrations are greater than 10 µg/mL.   92 (12/13)

21. In the absence of detectable infliximab, high titer anti-infliximab antibodies require a change of therapy. Low level antibodies can sometimes be overcome. For the ANSER assay, a high titer anti-infliximab antibody at trough is defined as 10 U/mL, for RIDAscreen the cutoff is 200

ng/mL, and for InformTx/Lisa Tracker the cutoff is 200 ng/mL. For other assays, there are insufficient data to define an adequate cutoff for a high titer anti-infliximab antibody. 100 (13/13)

Adalimumab

22. The minimum drug concentration at week 4 for adalimumab should at least be 5 µg/mL. Drug concentrations greater than 7 µg/ml are associated with an increased likelihood of mucosal healing.   83 (10/12)a

23. During maintenance the minimum trough concentration for adalimumab for patients in remission should be greater than 5 µg/mL. For patients with active disease, adalimumab should generally not be abandoned unless drug concentrations are greater than 10 µg/mL. 100 (12/12)

Certolizumab pegol

24. The minimum concentrations for certolizumab pegol at week 6 should be greater than 32 µg/mL.   100 (12/12)

25. During maintenance the minimum trough concentration for certolizumab pegol for patients in remission should be 15 µg/mL.   92 (11/12)

Golimumab

26. The minimum drug concentration at week 6 for golimumab should at least be 2.5 µg/mL.   92 (11/12)

27. During maintenance the minimum trough concentration for golimumab for patients in remission should be greater than 1 µg/mL. 92 (11/12)

Vedolizumab/ustekinumab

28. Although there are emerging data that may show an association between drug concentrations and outcomes, they are not sufficient to guide specific induction and maintenance drug concentrations for vedolizumab and ustekinumab other than confirming that there is detectable drug.   100 (12/12) (Papamichael, Cheifetz, et al., 2019)"

International Association for Therapeutic Drug Monitoring and Clinical Toxicology (IATDMCT) (Capiau et al., 2019)

The IATDMCT have published guidelines to validate the use of dried blood spots (DBS) for the quantitative determination of small molecule drugs using chromatographic methods. This guideline is not focused on serum antibody testing methods, and do not mention monitoring of TNF inhibitors for antidrug antibodies or TNF inhibitor levels.

VI. State and Federal Regulations, as applicable

A search for "tumor necrosis factor" on the FDA website on 07/27/2020, yielded zero results. Additionally, many labs have developed specific tests that they must validate and perform in house. These laboratory-developed tests (LDTs) are regulated by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid (CMS) as high-complexity tests under the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments of 1988 (CLIA '88). As an LDT, the U. S. Food and Drug Administration has not approved or cleared this test; however, FDA clearance or approval is not currently required for clinical use.

VII. Applicable CPT/HCPCS Procedure Codes

Code Number	Code Description
80145	Adalimumab
82397	Chemiluminescent assay
80230	Infliximab
80299	Quantitation of therapeutic drug, not elsewhere specified
84999	Unlisted chemistry procedure
80280	Vedolizumab

Current Procedural Terminology© American Medical Association. All Rights reserved.

Procedure codes appearing in Medical Policy documents are included only as a general reference tool for each policy. They may not be all-inclusive.

VIII. Evidence-based Scientific References

Anser. (2019). Integrated IBD Monitoring. Retrieved from https://www.anserifx.com/

Barlow, N. L., Mohammed, P., & Berg, J. D. (2016). Serum trough infliximab and anti-infliximab antibodies in a cohort of gastroenterology and rheumatology patients' infliximab therapeutic drug monitoring. *Ann Clin Biochem, 53*(Pt 4), 477-484. doi:10.1177/0004563215604866

Bendtzen, K. (2017). Tumor necrosis factor-alpha inhibitors: Induction of antibodies, autoantibodies, and autoimmune diseases - UpToDate. In P. Romain (Ed.), *UpToDate*. Retrieved from https://www.uptodate.com/contents/tumor-necrosis-factor-alpha-inhibitors-induction-of-antibodies-autoantibodies-and-autoimmune-diseases?source=search_result&search=infliximab%20antibodies&selectedTitle=1~150

Bendtzen, K. (2019a). Tumor necrosis factor-alpha inhibitors: Induction of antibodies, autoantibodies, and autoimmune diseases - UpToDate. In P. Romain (Ed.), *UpToDate*. Retrieved from https://www.uptodate.com/contents/tumor-necrosis-factor-alpha-inhibitors-induction-of-antibodies-autoantibodies-and-autoimmune-diseases?source=search_result&search=infliximab%20antibodies&selectedTitle=1~150

Bendtzen, K. (2019b). *Tumor necrosis factor-alpha inhibitors: Induction of antibodies, autoantibodies, and autoimmune diseases - UpToDate*. In P. Romain (Ed.), *UpToDate*. Retrieved from

https://www.uptodate.com/contents/tumor-necrosis-factor-alpha-inhibitors-induction-of-antibodies-autoantibodies-and-autoimmune-diseases?source=search_result&search=infliximab%20antibodies&selectedTitle=1~150

Capiau, S., Veenhof, H., Koster, R. A., Bergqvist, Y., Boettcher, M., Halmingh, O., . . . Alffenaar, J. C. (2019). Official International Association for Therapeutic Drug Monitoring and Clinical Toxicology Guideline: Development and Validation of Dried Blood Spot-Based Methods for Therapeutic Drug Monitoring. *Ther Drug Monit, 41*(4), 409-430. doi:10.1097/ftd.0000000000000643

Fernandes, S. R., Bernardo, S., Simoes, C., Goncalves, A. R., Valente, A., Baldaia, C., . . . Tato Marinho, R. (2019). Proactive Infliximab Drug Monitoring Is Superior to Conventional Management in Inflammatory Bowel Disease. *Inflamm Bowel Dis*. doi:10.1093/ibd/izz131

Feuerstein, J. D., Nguyen, G. C., Kupfer, S. S., Falck-Ytter, Y., & Singh, S. (2017). American Gastroenterological Association Institute Guideline on Therapeutic Drug Monitoring in Inflammatory Bowel Disease. *Gastroenterology, 153*(3), 827-834. doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2017.07.032

InformTx. (2019). InformTx™ Therapeutic Drug Monitoring. Retrieved from https://www.informdx.com/Our-Services/Gastrointestinal-Pathology/InformTx-Therapeutic-Drug-Monitoring.aspx

LabCorp. (2019). 10 results for "DoseASSURE"

Retrieved from https://www.labcorp.com/test-menu/46121/certolizumab-and-anti-certolizumab-antibody-idose-iassure%E2%84%A2-ctz

Lichtenstein, G. R., Loftus, E. V., Isaacs, K. L., Regueiro, M. D., Gerson, L. B., & Sands, B. E. (2018). ACG Clinical Guideline: Management of Crohn's Disease in Adults. *113*(4), 481-517. doi:10.1038/ajg.2018.27

Lis, K., Kuzawińska, O., & Bałkowiec-Iskra, E. (2014). Tumor necrosis factor inhibitors – state of knowledge. In *Arch Med Sci* (Vol. 10, pp. 1175-1185).

MacDermott, R., Lichtenstein, Gary. (2018). Treatment of Crohn disease in adults: Dosing and monitoring of tumor necrosis factor-alpha inhibitors. Retrieved from https://www.uptodate.com/contents/treatment-of-crohn-disease-in-adults-dosing-and-monitoring-of-tumor-necrosis-factor-alpha-inhibitors?search=Tumor%20necrosis%20factor-alpha%20inhibitors%20antibodies&source=search_result&selectedTitle=2~150&usage_type=default&display_rank=2#H3350433340

Mitchell, R. A., Shuster, C., Shahidi, N., Galorport, C., DeMarco, M. L., Rosenfeld, G., . . . Bressler, B. (2016). The Utility of Infliximab Therapeutic Drug Monitoring among Patients with Inflammatory Bowel Disease and Concerns for Loss of Response: A Retrospective Analysis of a Real-World Experience. *Can J Gastroenterol Hepatol, 2016*, 5203898. doi:10.1155/2016/5203898

Moore, C., Corbett, G., & Moss, A. C. (2016). Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis: Serum Infliximab Levels During Maintenance Therapy and Outcomes in Inflammatory Bowel Disease. *J Crohns Colitis, 10*(5), 619-625. doi:10.1093/ecco-jcc/jjw007

Negoescu, D. M., Enns, E. A., Swanhorst, B., Baumgartner, B., Campbell, J. P., Osterman, M. T., . . . Vaughn, B. P. (2019). Proactive Vs Reactive Therapeutic Drug Monitoring of Infliximab in Crohn's Disease: A Cost-Effectiveness Analysis in a Simulated Cohort. *Inflamm Bowel Dis*. doi:10.1093/ibd/izz113

NICE. (2016). Therapeutic monitoring of TNF-alpha inhibitors in Crohn's disease (LISA-TRACKER ELISA kits, IDKmonitor ELISA kits, and Promonitor ELISA kits) | Guidance and guidelines | NICE. Retrieved from https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/dg22/chapter/1-Recommendations. from NICE https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/dg22/chapter/1-Recommendations

NICE. (2019). Therapeutic monitoring of TNF-alpha inhibitors in Crohn's disease (LISA-TRACKER ELISA kits, IDKmonitor ELISA kits, and Promonitor ELISA kits) | Guidance and guidelines | NICE. Retrieved from https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/dg36/chapter/1-Recommendations. from NICE https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/dg36/chapter/1-Recommendations

Papamichael, K., Cheifetz, A. S., Melmed, G. Y., Irving, P. M., Vande Casteele, N., Kozuch, P. L., . . . Siegel, C. A. (2019). Appropriate Therapeutic Drug Monitoring of Biologic Agents for Patients With Inflammatory Bowel Diseases. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol, 17*(9), 1655-1668.e1653. doi:10.1016/j.cgh.2019.03.037

Papamichael, K., Juncadella, A., Wong, D., Rakowsky, S., Sattler, L. A., Campbell, J. P., . . . Cheifetz, A. S. (2019). Proactive Therapeutic Drug Monitoring of Adalimumab Is Associated With Better Long-term Outcomes Compared With Standard of Care in Patients With Inflammatory Bowel Disease. *J Crohns Colitis, 13*(8), 976-981. doi:10.1093/ecco-jcc/jjz018

Roblin, X., Rinaudo, M., Del Tedesco, E., Phelip, J. M., Genin, C., Peyrin-Biroulet, L., & Paul, S. (2014). Development of an algorithm incorporating pharmacokinetics of adalimumab in inflammatory bowel diseases. *Am J Gastroenterol, 109*(8), 1250-1256. doi:10.1038/ajg.2014.146. Epub 2014 Jun 10.

Rubin, D. T., Ananthakrishnan, A. N., Siegel, C. A., Sauer, B. G., & Long, M. D. (2019). ACG Clinical Guideline: Ulcerative Colitis in Adults. *Am J Gastroenterol, 114*(3), 384-413. doi:10.14309/ajg.0000000000000152

Singh, J. A., Guyatt, G., Ogdie, A., Gladman, D. D., Deal, C., Deodhar, A., . . . Reston, J. (2019). 2018 American College of Rheumatology/National Psoriasis Foundation Guideline for the Treatment of Psoriatic Arthritis. *Arthritis Care & Research, 71*(1), 2-29. doi:10.1002/acr.23789

Steenholdt, C., Brynskov, J., Thomsen, O. O., Munck, L. K., Fallingborg, J., Christensen, L. A., . . . Ainsworth, M. A. (2014). Individualised therapy is more cost-effective than dose intensification in patients with Crohn's disease who lose response to anti-TNF treatment: a randomised, controlled trial. *Gut, 63*(6), 919-927. doi:10.1136/gutjnl-2013-305279

Tighe, D., & McNamara, D. (2017). Clinical impact of immunomonitoring in the treatment of inflammatory bowel disease. *World J Gastroenterol, 23*(3), 414-425. doi:10.3748/wjg.v23.i3.414

Van Stappen, T., Bollen, L., Vande Casteele, N., Papamichael, K., Van Assche, G., Ferrante, M., . . . Gils, A. (2016). Rapid Test for Infliximab Drug Concentration Allows Immediate Dose Adaptation. *Clin Transl Gastroenterol, 7*(12), e206. doi:10.1038/ctg.2016.62

Vande Casteele, N., Herfarth, H., Katz, J., Falck-Ytter, Y., & Singh, S. (2017). American Gastroenterological Association Institute Technical Review on the Role of Therapeutic Drug Monitoring in the Management of Inflammatory Bowel Diseases. *Gastroenterology, 153*(3), 835-857.e836. doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2017.07.031

Wang, S. L., Hauenstein, S., Ohrmund, L., Shringarpure, R., Salbato, J., Reddy, R., . . . Singh, S. (2013). Monitoring of adalimumab and antibodies-to-adalimumab levels in patient serum by the homogeneous mobility shift assay. *J Pharm Biomed Anal, 78-79*, 39-44. doi:10.1016/j.jpba.2013.01.031

Wang, S. L., Ohrmund, L., Hauenstein, S., Salbato, J., Reddy, R., Monk, P., . . . Singh, S. (2012). Development and validation of a homogeneous mobility shift assay for the measurement of infliximab and antibodies-to-infliximab levels in patient serum. *J Immunol Methods, 382*(1-2), 177-188. doi:10.1016/j.jim.2012.06.002

Wang, Y., Turner, K., Bedeir, A., Patel, P., & Gulizia, J. (2018). Therapeutic Drug Monitoring of Monoclonal Antibody in Inflammatory Bowel Diseases: Laboratory Evidence to Predict Patient Responses. Retrieved from https://www.informdx.com/documents/Articles/Abstract-AACC-2018.pdf

IX.   Revision History

Revision Date	Summary of Changes
06/01/2021	Initial presentation